

Provided for non-commercial research and education use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SciVerse ScienceDirect

journal homepage: [www.e-jds.com](http://www.e-jds.com)

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Clinical characterization of mouth opening among Mexican adolescents and young adults

Juan F. Casanova-Rosado <sup>a</sup>, Carlo E. Medina-Solís <sup>b\*</sup>,  
Alejandro J. Casanova-Rosado <sup>a</sup>, Ana A. Vallejos-Sánchez <sup>b</sup>,  
Nuria Patiño-Marín <sup>c</sup>, Gerardo Maupome <sup>d</sup>, Victor Gomez-Gomez <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Dentistry, Autonomous University of Campeche, Campeche, México

<sup>b</sup> Academic Area of Dentistry, Autonomous University of Hidalgo State, Pachuca, México

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Dentistry, Autonomous University of San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, México

<sup>d</sup> School of Dentistry, Indiana University/Purdue University, Regenstrief Institute, Indianapolis, Indiana, United States of America

Final revision received 25 August 2011; accepted 8 December 2011

Available online 4 March 2012

## KEYWORDS

adolescents;  
adults;  
anthropometry;  
Hispanic;  
maximum;  
mouth opening

**Abstract Objective:** To estimate two mouth opening (MO) assessments in a sample of apparently healthy Mexican adolescents and young adults, in the context of age and sex.

**Material and Methods:** We examined in a cross-sectional study 254 subjects 14 to 24 years old to ascertain the maximum MO (MMO) and the assisted maximum MO (AMMO) using a ruler (Scala®). The measured mouth opening capacities were not adjusted by adding the vertical overlap between arches (overbite). Clinical oral examinations were undertaken by four standardized examiners. Statistical analyses included Student's *t*-test and linear regression modeling.

**Results:** Mean age was  $16.76 \pm 2.39$  years and 53% of the 254 participants were men. Overall mean MMO was  $46.61 \pm 7.37$  mm (minimum 28, maximum 69), and AMMO was  $49.48 \pm 6.59$  mm (minimum 32, maximum 75). Age had no identifiable relationship with MMO or AMMO but we observed that the mean difference between men and women in MMO and AMMO was 3.29 mm ( $P < 0.001$ ) and 3.16 mm ( $P < 0.001$ ), respectively.

**Conclusions:** Besides finding that Mexican young males have higher mean maximum mouth opening than females, the present study offers some of the first anthropometric outline relevant to orthodontic, prosthetic/prosthodontic developmental calculations for an important parameter of normal mandible/maxilla function among Hispanics/Mexicans.

Copyright © 2012, Association for Dental Sciences of the Republic of China. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author. Privada de Altillio sin número, entre avenida central y Pedro Moreno, Colonia San José, CP 24040, Campeche, México.  
E-mail address: [cemedinas@yahoo.com](mailto:cemedinas@yahoo.com) (C.E. Medina-Solís).

## Introduction

Mandibular function is assessed through a series of diagnostic procedures, including palpation of the masticatory muscles and temporomandibular joint (TMJ), an occlusal evaluation, and radiographic/cephalometric examination; these are often supplemented by tests of lateral and protrusion movements and evaluation of mouth opening (MO).<sup>1</sup> Limitations or pain during mandibular movements (and more directly, while opening)<sup>2</sup> may be related to different conditions such as TMJ disorders, odontogenic infections, oral submucous fibrosis, trismus, development and congenital anomalies, and facial trauma.<sup>1–7</sup> Limitations of MO have implications for both patients and dentists. Another consideration that is nevertheless important is when anesthesiology maneuvers need to be undertaken, i.e., a limited MO has negative consequences when performing procedures like endotracheal intubation.<sup>8,9</sup>

MO seems to be related to factors such as age and sex, and to anthropometric features such as height, race, mandibular size, and cranial base size. Interestingly, few studies have been performed around the world to determine what a normal opening range is in "healthy" individuals. Mexico and the Mexican population lack a reference framework to determine this clinical parameter. The objective of the present study was to estimate two measures of maximal MO (MMO) in a sample of Mexican adolescents and young adults and to determine their relationships with age and sex.

## Materials and methods

### Design, population, and sampling

This study complied with ethical and research regulations of the Dental School at the Universidad Autónoma de Campeche (UAC) in Campeche, Mexico. Part of the methodology was previously reported in detail.<sup>10–12</sup> Briefly, a cross-sectional study collected data on different oral health indicators in 524 individuals (14–24 years of age) selected through a nonprobabilistic sample of students enrolled at UAC.

The inclusion criteria for this analysis were (1) apparently healthy individuals who filled out a brief medical screening instrument, (2) being 14–24 years of age, (3) being enrolled in any of the selected UAC schools, (4) individuals who were accepted in the study and who signed informed consent, (5) not missing more than four teeth, (6) lacking evident TMJ disorders,<sup>13,14</sup> and (7) being free of clinical signs of excessive dental attrition (second and third degrees of severity of dental attrition).<sup>15</sup> Once the inclusion criteria were applied to the original population examined, the final sample for the present study included 254 individuals.

### Variables and variable assessment

The oral clinical assessment was performed by four examiners previously trained and standardized ( $\kappa > 0.85$ ) for force applied in the examination, using a Dontrix device (model Richmond, Santa Cruz, California, USA). The

dependent variable was the MMO, which was operationally defined as the distance from the mesioincisal edge of the upper right central incisor to the mesioincisal edge of the lower right central incisor. We considered two measures: one without assistance and one with assistance: (1) patients were asked to reach their MMO possible, and (2) patients were asked to reach their MMO possible while being assisted by putting the two index fingers of the examiner on the opposing incisal surfaces and exerting light pressure. Once the examination was concluded, the patient was informed about his or her oral status. The measured MO capacities were not adjusted by adding the vertical overlap (overbite). Independent variables were the age and sex of the participants.

### Statistical analyses

A univariate analysis was performed to obtain measures of the central tendency and dispersion, as well as frequencies and percentages, depending on the measurement scales of the variables. Bivariate Student's *t*-test and Spearman's correlation tests were performed. A linear regression analysis was performed to account for the effects of age and sex. Data were analyzed using STATA 9.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX USA).

## Results

The study included 254 individuals, with a mean age of  $16.76 \pm 2.39$  years, and 53.0% of participants were male. Table 1 shows the sex distribution of the two measures of MMO, reporting the maximum, minimum, and 5%, 50%, and 95% percentiles. The mean MMO and assisted MMO (AMMO) were  $46.61 \pm 7.37$  and  $49.48 \pm 6.59$  mm, respectively.

We observed significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) across the sexes, with males having higher MMO measures. No significant differences in MO measures were identified according to Angle's occlusion classification (results not presented in table). We were unable to identify statistically significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) across values derived from apparently healthy participants or from participants who stated they had any kind of symptoms pertaining to the TMJ ( $n = 209$ , MMO =  $47.82 \pm 7.81$  mm, AMMO =  $50.55 \pm 6.87$  mm), myofascial pain ( $n = 53$ , MMO =  $48.58 \pm 7.27$ , AMMO =  $50.75 \pm 6.79$ ), disc disorders ( $n = 126$ , MMO =  $47.50 \pm 8.21$ , AMMO =  $50.58 \pm 7.02$ ), or any combination of those three symptoms ( $n = 30$ , MMO =  $47.87 \pm 7.15$ , AMMO =  $50.07 \pm 6.56$ ). These results are not presented.

In the multivariate linear regression model (Table 2), no relationship between the MMO and age was found ( $P > 0.05$ ), but differences in the mean MMO and AMMO between males and females were 3.29 ( $P < 0.001$ ) and 3.16 mm ( $P < 0.001$ ), respectively.

## Discussion

The present study determined two measures of MO in apparently healthy Mexican adolescents and young adults, observing respective mean values of  $46.61 \pm 7.37$  and  $49.48 \pm 6.59$  mm for MMO and AMMO.

We compared our results with other studies in the literature only with regard to the first parameter, as AMMO

**Table 1** Distribution of MMO and AMMO (mm) across sexes.

	MMO	AMMO
<b>Male (n = 133)</b>		
Mean ± SD	48.17 ± 7.86	51.00 ± 7.15
Minimum	28	32
Maximum	69	75
Percentile 5	33.7	40
Percentile 50	49	51
Percentile 95	61	62.3
<b>Female (n = 121)</b>		
Mean ± SD	44.90 ± 6.40	47.83 ± 5.49
Minimum	29	32
Maximum	58	60
Percentile 5	32.1	38.1
Percentile 50	45	49
Percentile 95	54.9	57
P value <sup>a</sup>	0.0004	0.0001
<b>Male and female (n = 254)</b>		
Mean ± SD	46.61 ± 7.37	49.48 ± 6.59
Minimum	28	32
Maximum	69	75
Percentile 5	33	39
Percentile 50	47	50
Percentile 95	59	61

AMMO = assisted maximum mouth opening; MMO = maximum mouth opening; SD = standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup> Student's t-test contrasting differences across sexes.

has not been discussed in the literature to our knowledge. Other studies carried out in different countries and targeting other racial/ethnic groups suggest that wide variability exists both across and within population groups. One report found lower readings than ours. Gallagher and colleagues<sup>4</sup> determined a mean MMO of 42.2 mm in an Irish population of 16–99 years of age. Of note is the fact that those authors used an approximation of the measurement, not actual millimeters. Some researchers published figures that resemble ours, such as Cox and Walker<sup>3</sup> in Nepal (18–68 years of age), who reported a mean MMO of 47.1 mm. A third group of studies reported higher mean MMO readings, such as Yao and colleagues<sup>6</sup> in Taiwan (20–80 years of age) who reported 49.10 ± 6.30 mm, which is almost 3 mm higher than our findings. If we circumscribe

**Table 2** Linear regression analysis on MMO and AMMO (mm) adjusted for age and sex.

	MMO	AMMO
Age	0.33 (−0.04–0.70)	−0.02 (−0.35–0.31)
P value	0.079	0.913
<b>Sex</b>		
Female (n = 121)	1 <sup>a</sup>	1 <sup>a</sup>
Male (n = 133)	3.29 (1.51–5.06)	3.16 (1.57–4.76)
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001

<sup>a</sup> AMMO = assisted maximum mouth opening; MMO = maximum mouth opening.

the comparison to the age group of 20–39 years, the difference was even larger (almost 5 mm). Landtwing<sup>16</sup> reported results from 11–19-year-old Swiss adolescents with a mean of 49 mm. In the United States, Zawawi and colleagues<sup>1</sup> found a mean MMO of 48.8 mm (21–41 years of age).

Differences across studies are mainly due to two reasons: (1) methodologic discrepancies in the strategies to determine MMO (including which age groups are studied), and (2) the racial background, which manifests as differences in the physical (size) and anthropometric (anatomical) makeup of the facial structure. In terms of methodologic disparities, the interpretation of comparisons ought to take into account that age ranges vary quite markedly across studies. Because morphologic changes related to attrition, bruxism, and trauma become more evident as a person ages, it is not surprising that the inclusion of different target age groups may change MMO and AMMO readings. Although it is not readily apparent in the relatively narrow age range we used (purposive as it was, to minimize the MMO/AMMO impacts derived from the above-described factors), other authors indicated that MMO decreased with age among Irish and Taiwanese people.<sup>4,6</sup>

Other methodologic aspects are worth considering, e.g., the actual measurement approach. For example, Zawawi and colleagues<sup>1</sup> proposed determining the MMO using the width of three fingers (index, middle, and ring finger) or four fingers (except thumbs) lined up vertically between the upper and lower central incisors. Mezitis and colleagues,<sup>17</sup> Cox and Walker,<sup>3</sup> and Yao and others<sup>6</sup> proposed measuring the interincisal distance using a ruler. Wood and Branco<sup>18</sup> compared direct (intraoral) and indirect (extraoral) methods, and they concluded that direct methods were preferable; this is the reason we used such an approach. One methodologic shortcoming is that the MMO capacity seemed to be measured only once. Multiple measuring is especially important for assessing the AMMO capacity because the force applied can vary even when examiners are standardized.

A consistent finding across studies is the significant difference between males and females. This is likely due to the physical size; males are generally larger than females, so the head and face bone structures are accordingly bigger. Our study was performed on Mexican adolescents and young adults and confirmed the existence of differences in anatomic characteristics between males and females. We also created a more-precise characterization of MMO variables, therefore allowing those anthropometric data to be directly relevant to the racial profile that makes up a young Mexican population group. Besides finding that Mexican young males have a higher mean MMO than females, the present study offers the first anthropometric data relevant to orthodontic and prosthetic/prosthetic developmental calculations for an important parameter of normal mandible/maxilla function among Hispanics/Mexicans.

## References

- Zawawi KH, Al-Badawi EA, Lobo-Lobo S, Melis M, Mehta NR. An index for the measurement of normal maximum mouth opening. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2003;69:737–41.

2. Prasad R, Bhide SV, Gandhi PV, Divekar NS, Madhav VNV. Prosthodontic management of a patient with limited mouth opening: a practical approach. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc* 2008; 8:83–6.
3. Cox SC, Walker DM. Establishing a normal range for mouth opening: its use in screening for oral submucous fibrosis. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1997;35:40–2.
4. Gallagher C, Gallagher V, Whelton H, Cronin M. The normal range of mouth opening in an Irish population. *J Oral Rehabil* 2004;31:110–6.
5. Gökçe B, Destan UI, Ozpinar B, Sonugelen M. Comparison of mouth opening angle between dentate and edentulous subjects. *Cranio* 2009;27:174–9.
6. Yao KT, Lin CC, Hung CH. Maximum mouth opening of ethnic Chinese in Taiwan. *J Dent Sci* 2009;4:40–4.
7. Sforza C, Tartaglia GM, Lovecchio N, et al. Mandibular movements at maximum mouth opening and EMG activity of masticatory and neck muscles in patients rehabilitated after a mandibular condyle fracture. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2009; 37:327–33.
8. Williamson JA, Webb RK, Szekely S, Gillies ER, Dreosti AV. The Australian incident monitoring study. Difficult intubation: an analysis of 2000 incident reports. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 1993; 21:602–7.
9. Paix AD, Williamson JA, Runciman WB. Crisis management during anaesthesia: difficult intubation. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2005;14:e5.
10. Casanova-Rosado JF, Medina-Solís CE, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Casanova-Rosado AJ, Maupomé G, Ávila Burgos L. Lifestyle and psychosocial factors associated with tooth loss in Campeche, Mexico. *J Contemp Dent Pract* 2005;6:70–7.
11. Casanova-Rosado JF, Medina-Solís CE, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Casanova-Rosado AJ, Maupomé G, Ávila-Burgos L. Dental attrition and associated factors in 14-19- years old adolescents: a pilot study. *Int J Prosthodont* 2005;18:516–9.
12. Casanova-Rosado JF, Medina-Solís CE, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Casanova-Rosado AJ, Hernández-Prado B, Ávila-Burgos L. Prevalence and risk factors for temporomandibular disorders in Mexican adolescents and youth adults. *Clin Oral Investig* 2006; 10:42–9.
13. LeResche L, Dworkin SF, Sommers EE, Truelove EL. An epidemiologic evaluation of two diagnostic classification schemes for temporomandibular disorders. *J Prosthet Dent* 1991;65: 131–7.
14. Dworkin SF, LeResche L. Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, criteria, examinations and specifications, critique. *J Craniomandib Disord* 1992;6:301–55.
15. Johansson A, Haraldson T, Omar R, Kiliaridis S, Carlsson G. A system for assessing the severity and progression of occlusal tooth wear. *J Oral Rehabil* 1993;20:125–31.
16. Landtwing K. Evaluation of the normal range of vertical mandibular opening in children and adolescents with special reference to age and stature. *J Maxillofac Surg* 1978;6:157–62.
17. Mezitis M, Rallis G, Zachariades N. The normal range of mouth opening. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1989;47:1028–9.
18. Wood GD, Branco JA. A comparison of three methods of measuring maximal opening of the mouth. *J Oral Surg* 1979;37: 175–7.