Actividad antioxidante de los extractos de Chiltepin (Capsicum annum) cultivados bajo redes de colores.

Antioxidant activity of the extracts of Chiltepin (Capsicum annum) cultivated under colored shade nets
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**Abstract:**

Chiltepin or piquin chili pepper (Capsicum annum) is a wild resource of wide geographic distribution in the Mexican Republic. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of different types of shade black, red, white blue and plastic cover mesh base on the content of total phenols and antioxidant capacity in chiltepin fruits. They were analyzed in chiltepin fruit extracts in total phenol content (Folin-Ciocalteu) antioxidant capacity (ABTS and DPPH) by spectrophotometric techniques and were quantified and identified phenols and capsaicinoids. The results indicated that shade and plastic cover meshes do influence the content of phenols and capsaicinoids. The content of phenols and capsaicinoids in chiltepin fruits indicate the potential in obtaining bioactive compounds with antioxidant and antibacterial capacity
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**Introduction**

The chiltepin or piquin pepper (*Capsicum annum*) is a wild plant resource of wide geographical distribution in the Mexican Republic. For the people of the northeastern region of Mexico, this species represents a food and medicinal source (Márquez Quiroz, 2013). The fruit of piquín chili has historically been consumed in the communities surrounding the production areas without risking their survival (Sandoval Rangel A., 2011).
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The use of shade nets is a strategy to protect plants from direct solar radiation, reduce the number of fruits with damage also to obtaining more vigorous plants better pest control and greater profitability of crops than in the open field (Shahak, 2008) (Ayala Tafoya, 2015). Many pepper species have not been analyzed for their concentrations of ascorbic acid, capsaicin, and total phenolic compounds, which are important antioxidants having a number of benefits for the human health (Antonious, 2006). However, the composition of the fruit’s changes depending on the maturation stage and the environmental conditions in which the fruits were produced and in the case of the cultivated varieties (Vera Guzmán, 2011). For all it is necessary to know the effect of the agronomic management in shaded nets production technology on the quality in chili peppers.

**Materials and methods**

**Collection of fruits**
Fresh fruits of selected chiltepín (*C. annuum*) from Saltillo Coahuila, México of the ecotype Rio tuxpan Zacatecas. The fruits of chiltepín were washed and frozen at -80 °C for 1 day, freeze dried for 48 h, milled in a laboratory miller and stored at -80 °C.

**Total phenolics content**
Total phenolic content in chiltepín chili’s fruit was determined with Folin-Ciocalteu reagent according to the method of Waterman *et al*, 1994, using galic acid as a standard phenolic compound. 150 mg of biomass dried were placed in a tuve, with 20 mL de methanol (100%), grinded at 5°C and centrifuged at 14000 x g for 10 min. Reaction mixture consisted of mixing 0.5 mL of the extract added with 1.5 mL of Na₂CO₃ and 0.5 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu.

**Total antioxidant activity**
Antioxidant capacity of chiltepín samples was determined by different assays described below.

**DPPH**
The DPPH assay was performed according to the method described by Brand- Williams *et al*; 1995.

**ABTS**
The ABTS assay was performed accordind to te method described by Re, R; et al 1999.

**Identification and quantification of phenolic compounds by HPLC- DAD**
Identification and quantification of phenolic compounds in samples of pepper were carried out following the method described by de la Rosa, Alvarez-Parrilla, and Shahidi (2011) with slight modifications. Before HPLC analysis, samples were hydrolyzed, by mixing 30 mg of each simple with 10 mL of acidified methanol and heating to 95 °C for 60min. Samples were cooled and extracted with 10 mL of ethyl ether in triplicate. The organic phase was recovered, solvent evaporated and dry solids suspended in methanol (1 mg/mL). 15 μL of
this solution was filtered through a 0.45 μm filter and injected into a Perkin Elmer model 200 series HPLC equipment with diode array detector (DAD). Separation was achieved using a SupercosiIL C18 column (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ, Phenomenex Inc., Torrence CA), a binary mobile phase was used (solvent A, acetonitrile 5% in methanol; solvent B, formic acid 1% in water) with the following gradient program. A flux rate of 1 mL/min was used and detection wavelengths were 280 and 320 nm. Identification and quantification of phenolic compounds was achieved by comparison of retention time (rt) and UV spectra with those of pure standards (gallic acid, catechin, quercetin, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid).

**Identification and quantification of capsaicinoids by HPLC-DAD**

Capsaicinoids in chiltepin peppers were identified and quantified according to the method describe by Alvarez-Parrilla et al. (2011). Briefly, 0.5 g of freeze-dried samples was extracted with 10 mL of methanol by sonication in the dark for 20 min. Then samples were centrifuged at 2000g for 5 min and supernatant was collected. The extraction was repeated, and both supernatants were combined and stored at ~20 °C until analysis. Identification and quantification of capsaicinoids were carried out in the HPLC equipment formerly described with a Supercosiil C-18 reverse-phase column (5 μm particle size, 250 x 4.60 mm i.d., Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). A 25 μL aliquot of filtered (0.45 μm nylon syringe filter) extract was injected into the system and eluted using an isocratic mobile phase at a 1 mL/min flow rate. Capsaicinoids were detected at 280 nm; capsaicin (CAP; rt 23 min) and dihydrocapsaicin (DHC; rt 34 min) were quantified using pure standard compounds, and results expressed as μg CAP or DHC/g DW.

**Statistical analysis**

All analyses were carried out by triplicate. Values were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Tukey analyses were performed in order to determine statistical differences (p<0.05) between the samples.

**Results and discussion**

**Concentrations of total phenols**

Total phenolic content (table1) of dry chiltepin samples grown in greenhouse had the highest concentration, then open field and with concentrations below the previous ones were the different black, red, white and blue shades. The production of these compounds in chilepin fruits could be due to the conditions that are stress for the plant. The found values allow to confirm that if the content of these bioactive compounds influences under the different types of shade mesh and plastic cover.
Table 1. Total phenolics content of chiltepín peppers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type shade nets</th>
<th>Total Phenols (mg EGA/g PS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open field</td>
<td>39.24 ± 0.59&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse</td>
<td>54.45 ± 1.38&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black mesh</td>
<td>36.90 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red mesh</td>
<td>36.07 ± 0.42&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White mesh</td>
<td>34.44 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue mesh</td>
<td>34.85 ± 0.28&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent the mean of three or four measurements. Values in the same column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey test, \( P<0.05 \)).

The antioxidant capacity obtained from the different types of shade mesh and plastic cover where chiltepin is grown shows significant differences between them as can be seen in table 2. In this table you can see note that the extract that showed the highest antioxidant activity for the ABTS radical was chiltepin cultivated in a greenhouse (plastic cover), while for the DPPH radical was the extract of chiltepin cultivated in open field (without shade mesh).

Table 2. Total phenolics content of chiltepín peppers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type shade nets</th>
<th>ABTS (µM Trolox/g PS)</th>
<th>DPPH (µM Trolox/g PS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open field</td>
<td>85.26 ± 0.45&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28.73±0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse</td>
<td>93.45 ± 0.72&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.21 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black mesh</td>
<td>83.95 ± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.06 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red mesh</td>
<td>77.37 ± 0.17&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.87 ± 0.50&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White mesh</td>
<td>82.45 ± 3.50&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.81 ± 0.48&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue mesh</td>
<td>67.14 ± 2.24&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.53 ± 0.87&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent the mean of three or four measurements. Values in the same column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey test, \( P<0.05 \)).
Identification and quantification of phenolic compounds by HPLC- DAD
The fruits of chiltepin cultivated under open field (figure 1) had a higher content of galic acid and catechin, however the fruits cultivated under black mesh have a higher concentration of chlorogenic acid.

Identification and quantification of capsaicinoids by HPLC-DAD
The most abundant compound in all the samples was capsaicin with a range of 68 to 78 % of the total of the capsaicinoids of all the samples. The chiltepin sample cultivated in the greenhouse (figura 2) was the highest concentration with capsaicin values of 10.97 mg/g and 3.07 mg/g od dihydrocapsaicin.

Conclusion
The use of color meshes and plastic tray that were used in this study caused changes in the physicochemical quality, however, the values of the parameters are within good quality fruits. On the other hand, the amount of phytochemicals and antioxidant capacity were affected a little by greater amount of shade presenting low concentrations. Therefore, the results of the present work showed that the conditions provided by the meshes present an impact on the levels of phytochemicals and antioxidant capacity.
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